Agenda
Manchester Citizen Advisory Committee
Tuesday- June 4, 2019
7:00 pm

1. Call Meeting to Order
2. Pledge of Allegiance
3. Approval of Agenda
4. Approval of Minutes
5. Public Participation
6. Reports
   a. Administration
   b. Chair
   c. Finance Committee
7. Old Business
8. New Business
   a. Manchester Township Presentation
   b. Discuss Additional FAQ’s
   c. Report Review
9. Adjourn
Manchester Village Citizens Advisory Committee  
Regular Meeting  
Tuesday, May 21, 2019  
Unapproved Minutes

1. CALL MEETING TO ORDER
   Vice-Chair, Herb Mahony, called the meeting to order at 7:00 p.m.

MEMBERS PRESENT: Scott Dunsmore, Pat Fielder, Rick Finger, Leslie Kiesel, Denise Collins, Carol LaRock, Herb Mahony, Jack Gould

MEMBERS ABSENT: Jim Keller


2. PLEDGE OF ALLEGIANCE

3. APPROVAL OF AGENDA- A motion was made by Finger to approve, LaRock seconded. Motion passed.

4. APPROVAL OF MINUTES FOR PREVIOUS MEETING- A motion was made by Kiesel to approve, Gould seconded. Motion passed.

5. PUBLIC PARTICIPATION-
   Mahony pointed out that this meeting includes a hearing to gather the questions and concerns of the public:
   • Robert Gusky- How would the fire department be handled and which municipalities would control it?
   • Ken Rogge- What is the background on why the Village is looking at potentially changing to a city?
   • George Daubner- would like to know how the committee will be answering.
   • Cheryl Zabel- How long would it take for the process, if it did go through?
   • Jim Mann- What are the advantages to becoming a city? Village taxes are already higher than Ann Arbor's. Township voters have never pushed issues through that Village did not also approve.
   • Stephanie Beuerle- Township has an 8-mill limit and city has a 23-mill limit. Taxes are high so the concern is that the switch could end up being more taxes. As a building owner downtown, will I have a right to vote if I don't live in the Village?
   • Laurie Carey- FAQ mailing should have included Township residents.
   • Ron Milkey- FAQ mailing should have included Township residents.

   • Mural Indicators- MML information indicates that mills for cities and villages is not the same as reported.

7. REPORTS-
   a. Administration - None
   b. Chair - None
   c. Finance Committee - None

8. OLD BUSINESS- None

9. NEW BUSINESS
   a. Discuss FAQ's - Finger reviewed the additional questions, spoke to the opportunity to update the charter in the process and asked the group how much detail should be included. Jeff Wallace suggested looking at the presentation by Miller Canfield for assistance formulating a process timeline. A timeline was also suggested as an addition to the FAQs to give context and as a supplement to the questions. Collins suggested having the Finance Committee create a business property tax impact example, similar to what was done for homeowners. The Committee agreed.

   b. Report Outline Review - No update at this time.

10. ADJOURN-A motion to adjourn was made by LaRock, seconded by Gould. Motion passed. Meeting adjourned at 7:37pm.
Committee Charge: Gather, analyze and present objective facts and a recommendation in an advisory report to the Village Council regarding incorporation as a home rule city.

FREQUENTLY ASKED QUESTIONS

1. Why is the village looking to change to a city?

The Village of Manchester has considered becoming a city at different times over the years, dating as far back as the 1970s. In Michigan, cities have more autonomy than villages due to their municipal structure, which means villages and townships are tied together in certain decision making even if it's not beneficial for both village and township residents. Plus, in certain areas, such as tax collection, there are two layers of government as opposed to one, adding an extra layer that may not be necessary. Reflecting on the needs and interests of village residents and whether the current duplicative government structure serves the community and its future in the best way possible is what led to the exploration of becoming a city. At this stage, it's an exploratory process and no decision has been made on whether to pursue such an endeavor. The Village Council has appointed a Citizens Advisory Committee to investigate the process, review the pros and cons from similar communities that have completed cityhood initiatives and give feedback on how this will impact the village and the surrounding communities. The committee will deliver its findings in a report to Village Council once complete.

2. How is a city different from a village?

City status results in less duplicative government than a village. A city is considered a primary local unit of government by the state while a village is a subunit of the township. A village is not considered a primary local unit of government by the state as it does not assess or collect taxes (except its own village tax) and it does not conduct county, state and national elections.\(^1\)

A city assumes the legally required duties of primary local units of government: 1. Assessing property 2. Collecting taxes for the county and schools 3. Conducting county, state and national elections. A city also provides local public services such as fire/police protection, water supply, sewage disposal, zoning, etc.\(^2\)

3. What are the disadvantages of going from a village status to a city status?

City residents would not be able to run for elected office in Manchester Township or vote in Township elections since they would not be residents of the Township.

4. What are the advantages of going from a village status to a city status?

Under city status, residents could see a reduction in annual property taxes, would have sole control over decisions made that affect city residents and would remove the duplicative government structure that currently exists.

---

2 Ibid.
Additionally, other communities that have been through the process have noted economic advantages in directly accessing grants and other funding sources.

5. Will village residents vote on this? Yes, the process includes several formal/regulated decision points for village resident registered voters: a. Petition process – once petition language is approved by the State Boundary Commission and Public Hearings and comments are concluded, the petition must be signed by 20% of village voters within 45 days asking for an election on the issue b. An election is then held for members of the Charter Commission c. An election is then held on the new proposed Charter. The results of that election determine if the Village becomes a City.\(^3\)

Also please see Exhibit A Timeline for Incorporation as a Home Rule City.

6. Would this change affect my property taxes?

The change would be that village property owners would no longer pay township taxes. The city would, however, need to contract for fire protection that is currently provided by Manchester Township via township property taxes. A new city tax to fund this contract would be necessary and likely similar in amount to the current Manchester Township fire millage.

7. How would the city pay for providing the required duties in #2 above?

The village is currently providing many of these functions, either directly (water supply, sewage disposal, zoning), through contract (police protection) or through taxes to the township (fire protection).

Collecting county and school taxes (pass through to these entities) would utilize existing village operations.

The assessment costs would be additional and would probably be contracted.

The additional costs associated with conducting county, state and national elections would be procuring voting equipment, training the clerk, staffing the polling station and publication of notices.

No additional village staff would be required in providing these functions. Expenses for the additional work would be offset by the property tax administration fees currently collected by the township.

8. Would the village still provide fire protection as a city?

Residents will continue to have fire protection services regardless of whether the community is a village or a city. If the Village of Manchester is incorporated as a city, officials would negotiate a contract for fire protection services. The taxes paid for fire protection would switch from township tax collection to city tax collection.

9. How would the ownership of fire protection-related assets such as the Fire Hall, trucks and other equipment be determined? This would be subject to state law and working with Manchester Township.

\(^3\) Transition to Cityhood/March 18, 2011/Don Beavers/Michigan Municipal League
10. Would the village still provide police protection as a city? Yes. Manchester, as a village or city, would continue to contract with the Washtenaw County Sheriff Department for police coverage.

11. Would the village limits change? The village would propose keeping its current boundaries. The State Boundary Commission may initiate a change as part of its mandatory review.

12. Can we still be called a village? Yes

13. Would this affect current local events such as the Chicken Broil, Christmas in the Village, the Farmers Market, etc.? No

14. What effect will this have on the schools? None

15. What effect will this have on Manchester Township? TBD

16. How long a process is this change likely to take? The process includes multiple steps, some of which have specific time requirements. Most villages that have taken the process to cityhood status have taken 2-3 years. Please see Exhibit A attached to this FAQ for a full timeline from the State Boundary Commission.

17. What is the experience of former villages that are now cities? In general the villages that have converted to cities are pleased with the results and would do it again. There have been issues to deal with in every instance, but for the most part the outcomes have proven positive.

This change is relatively minor in the immediate impact upon residents. There may be the reduction in property taxes as noted above and there is more direct access to funding sources also as noted above. The autonomy of both recognized governmental units (the city and the township) allows each to pursue their interest where those interests may diverge.

---

Timeline for Incorporation as a Home Rule City

Outlined by the State Boundary Commission

Part I. Petition Process

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Pre-filing activities, e.g., task force, survey, public forums</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Prepare and submit draft petition for preview</td>
<td>Approximately 1-2 months</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Circulate petition for signatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. File petition with State Boundary Commission on forms provided by the</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Exhibit A
Boundary Commission

5. Determination of legal sufficiency by Boundary Commission
   Approximately 2-3 months after filing.
   If petition is determined to be legally sufficient then go on to 6.
   If petition is determined to not be legally sufficient, the process ends. A new petition must be filed, and the process would start over.

6. Public Hearing conducted by Boundary Commission
   Approximately 3-4 months after legal sufficiency meeting (only if approved as legally sufficient)

7. Submit additional public comment to Boundary Commission
   During 30-day period following public hearing

8. Rebuttal Period (7-day period on material received at public hearing and during 30-day period for involved parties (petitioner, twp, village, city) only
   The 7-day rebuttal period is designated by SBC staff after the 30-day public comment period expires.

9. Adjudication (Commission deliberation and recommendation to Director based on docket evidence and record)
   Approximately 2-3 months after rebuttal period

10. Adopt Findings of Fact document
    Approximately 1-2 months after adjudication

11. Director of Department of Licensing and Regulatory Affairs Signs Order
    Approximately 1-2 weeks after Findings are adopted

If the order denies the petition for the proposed incorporation, the process is ended.

If the order approves the petition to allow the proposed incorporation process to continue, and a referendum petition is filed, refer to Part II.

If the order approves the petition to allow the proposed incorporation process to continue, and a referendum petition is not filed, refer to Part III.

### Part II. Referendum

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Circulate referendum petition form for signatures</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. File referendum petition with the Boundary Commission</td>
<td>Within 45 days of the date the Order is signed by the Director</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Boundary Commission determines legal sufficiency of referendum petition</td>
<td>Approximately 2 months after filing</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Referendum election</td>
<td>Date set to coincide with Michigan Election Law</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. If the continuation of the incorporation proceedings are approved by</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
referendum, then a charter must be adopted within 2 years of the date the approval order becomes effective.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Timeline</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1. Charter Commission candidates file nominating Petitions</td>
<td>In time for county clerks to prepare local ballots</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2. Election of 9 charter commissioners</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3. Write a draft charter</td>
<td>Charter Commission must meet within 10 days of election; frame draft within 90 days</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4. Charter review by the Governor’s office</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5. Election on charter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6. If charter does not pass, repeat steps 3, 4, and 5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7. If charter is approved, the effective date of the new city is the date started in the charter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8. If charter is not adopted within: a) three years or two attempts within three years after the date the approval order becomes effective; or b) if the charter commission does not reconvene within 90 days after the election at which the first proposed charter was defeated, the incorporation proceedings are ended.</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

6 State of Michigan, State Boundary Commission, Office of Land Survey Remonumentation, Bureau of Construction Codes/LARA.